	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/735,356	TOMAS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander Grosz	3673
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Alexander Grosz</u> .	(3) MRLAZARUS)
(2) MR BAILBY 5 Date of Interview: $26/104$	(4)	
Date of Interview: $\frac{\sqrt{\chi} 6}{100}$	Time:	
Type of Interview: 212105 Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: W/ML BAIDEY - AURXANDER PATENT W/ML LAZARUS - WOLF PATENT Claims discussed:		
AU.		
Prior art documents discussed: ACRAADRE; WOCF.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: POTR ATTACHED ETAM. AND. STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE. Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)